



OKLAHOMA STATE REPORT 2026

Reporting on Academic Year of September 1, 2024 – August 31, 2025

218:10-5-4. Standards for Oklahoma Educator Preparation Programs

(d) Annual Report. Each Oklahoma educator preparation unit shall submit an annual report to the OEQA. This report will satisfy the requirements for the CEQA, State Regents for Higher Education, State Department of Education, and CAEP/AACTE.

As your team reflects on the following topics, provide data and examples from the **2024-2025** academic year to support your responses. Some questions may not apply to your institution. Upload the report to the [Educator Preparation Provider Portal](#) by April 30, 2026. Please submit the CAEP 2026 Annual Report with this state report.

- 1. Changes in the Implementation of Standards:** Describe changes in the standards outlined in the Institution Plan resulting from local and statewide evaluations/assessments, public hearings, or other reasons.

The EPP continues to use the CAEP standards as a program evaluation instrument. Within the traditional program, the EPP continues to use the 10 InTASC standards and candidates demonstrate mastery of these standards while matriculating through the program. Advanced candidates continue to demonstrate their proficiencies in content and leadership by mastering the National Educational Leadership Preparation (NELP) standards as they matriculate through the graduate program.

- 2. Progress in Addressing Stipulations/Areas for Improvement:** Describe the progress made in addressing the stipulations/areas for improvement, if any, identified by the most recent site accreditation review team.

The OKWU EPP went through the CAEP site visit accreditation review during the 2022-2023 academic year with the visit occurring in Spring of 2023. There was also a virtual CAEP visit in Spring of 2025 to address the one stipulation received. CAEP recommended removal of that stipulation after the visit. That stipulation was removed in October of 2025 and OKWU was awarded full accreditation. Additional information is available in the appendix. The EPP is including the AFIs from the CAEP site visit and progress toward addressing these as an appendix at the end of this report.

- 3. Supply and Demand:** Describe the efforts made to address supply and demand issues.

The OKWU EPP introduces students to the concept of supply and demand in their first education course, EDUC1302, Called to Teach. The nature of the course allows them to solidify their calling into education. Part of that conversation allows them to reflect on their strengths and weaknesses and analyze results from a strengths assessment. In that

course, students examine specific data related to job openings in the state of Oklahoma and in the nation. As candidates matriculate through the program, the concept of supply and demand is addressed in subsequent courses.

OKWU EPP candidates again examine the data during EDUC4812, Professional Learning and Leadership. This course is taken concurrently with their final clinical practice, Student Teaching. In this course, candidates examine the most recent supply and demand data for the state of Oklahoma and the nation.

EPP candidates are required to attend an educational forum focused on advocacy and action once per year.

- 4. Program Changes:** List any program changes that have occurred in the program resulting from data analysis.

The EPP has made a few programmatic improvements based on feedback from the CAEP site visit team, stakeholder communication, and candidate results. Specifics of those changes are listed in the Progress section of the table in Appendix A.

Another upcoming programmatic change based on data analysis and stakeholder feedback is the recent approval of an online Staff to Educator Preparation (STEP) program. This program is a direct result of stakeholder feedback and data analysis and will be an online program that offers school staff-members an opportunity to pursue a Bachelor's degree in Elementary Education while still working in their current position. This program began in August of 2025 with an initial cohort of 2.

- 5. Technology Resources:** Report on resources devoted to technology.

The OKWU EPP has a line item in their budget for their e-portfolio purchases and has authority to purchase other instructional technology. The EPP currently has 7 iPads, an iPad charging cart, 6 computers with Promethean technology, and 10 Chromebooks. All of this technology is housed within the EPP. No restrictions are on the EPP regarding instructional technology other than to stay within budget parameters.

Additionally, the university has an IT budget designated for instructional technology. The three classrooms used by EPP faculty are equipped with instructional technology (projectors, a SmartBoard, laptop, WiFi capabilities, etc. EPP faculty also have distance learning technology (ZOOM, Teams, Brightspace) to assist with instruction. The technology utilized by faculty and students in education courses is the same technology used in partner schools and districts. EPP faculty participate in a minimum of one technology training each academic year.

- 6. Faculty Professional Development:** Summarize the professional development that focuses on unit faculty members' ability to model effective teaching styles such as inquiry, group discussion, and collaborative learning.

OKWU EPP faculty are evaluated annually on their professional development involvement. OKWU has a specific budget dedicated to faculty development, and all EPP faculty have access to funds annually. In the 2024-2025 academic year, EPP faculty focused on preparation for the upcoming CAEP visit. EPP faculty attended CAEP training sessions for completion of the CAEP report. Additionally, EPP faculty participated in training focused on AI and its possible use as an instructional tool in the classroom. The EPP continued discussion and development of their assessment practices to include data pulled from the LMS.

Professor	2024-2025 Professional Development
Secondary Director	Online course creation, curriculum writing, faculty training
Elementary Director	“Really Great Reading” training, faculty training
P-12, P.E. Director	Faculty training and professional development
Dean	Completed Doctoral work; CAEP training sessions, faculty training

7. **Public School Direct Contact:** All full-time teacher education faculty members directly involved in the teacher education process, including all administrators of teacher education programs, are required to serve in a state accredited public school for at least ten (10) clock hours per school year in direct contact with meaningful and relevant responsibilities related to their respective teacher education fields.

In a table format, report number of hours all full-time teacher education faculty members spent in meaningful contact with P12 students.

Professor	Site	2024-2025 Task/Work	Hours
Secondary Director	Central Middle School; Madison Middle School; Bartlesville High School;	Local youth group volunteer; Teacher/student assistance at local secondary sites, Led classroom management training for local school district, Secondary School Administrators’ Council (chair)	25+
Elementary Director	Dewey Public Schools;	1 st year teacher support, School Psychologist,	25+

	Bartlesville Public Schools	Books Alive and Math Center volunteer	
P-12, P.E. Director	Bartlesville High School	Present in local schools observing, evaluating, and building/sustaining relationships with all stakeholders	20+
Dean	Wayside Elementary School, Central Middle School; Bartlesville High School;	Assistant Basketball coach at Bartlesville High School Volunteer for Elementary School and High School special events Local non-profit board member	25+

8. Graduate Students: Report on the number of graduate students admitted conditionally and the success rates.

Per the OKWU Graduate Catalog (2024-2025), “Students possessing a baccalaureate degree may be admitted on probation with prescribed deficiencies when undergraduate GPA falls between 2.50 and 2.99 on a 4.0 scale, assuming all other admission criteria is met.

Students possessing previous graduate work may be admitted on probation with prescribed deficiencies when the graduate GPA falls between 2.50 and 2.99 on a 4.0 scale, assuming all other admission criteria is met. Students on probationary status will not be allowed to proceed beyond the first term unless the student achieves a term GPA of 3.0. For more information about Academic Probation or Suspension, please see the Student Academic Progress section of this catalog” (p. 13).

During the 2024-2025 academic year, all graduate students were admitted without conditions.

9. Reading Instruction: Report on the results of the assessment of teaching skills in reading instruction as administered to candidates in elementary, early childhood education, and special education programs.

The EPP elementary education program prioritizes integrating the science of reading, emphasizing the five essential components: phonological awareness, phonics, reading fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. Through a comprehensive curriculum, teacher

candidates learn to effectively teach each component, ensuring students develop strong literacy skills. Our program includes five core courses: 1) Foundations of Reading, 2) Primary Literacy Methods and Practicum, 3) Intermediate Literacy Methods and Practicum, 4) Corrective Reading, and 5) Children's Literature. By mastering these courses, future teachers are equipped with the knowledge and skills necessary to empower students to become proficient readers.

Evidence of mastery in the area of reading instruction is portrayed in the EPP OSAT results. Over the last three years, EPP completers have had a 100% pass rate on the Elementary Education Subtest 1 and an 89% pass rate on the Elementary Education Subtest 2. Due to the small *n* of test-takers, the numerical value that results in the 89% pass rate is only 1 completer. This is significantly higher than the state average percentage. The EPP is encouraged that the program content effectively prepares completers in the area of reading instruction.

10. Alternative Placement Program: State the procedures in place for advising alternative placement candidates and indicate the number of alternative candidates advised for the school year.

OKWU does not have an alternative placement program, but the EPP does offer assistance to those interested in pursuing an alternative certificate in Oklahoma. The EPP encourages interested parties to follow the application process from the OKSDE. The EPP advised approximately five potential alternative candidates for the 24-25 school year.

Additionally, the EPP offers two advanced courses, which are state approved, in classroom management and instructional strategies to aid students who are pursuing an alternative certificate: EDU5523, Advanced Organizational Behavior and Classroom Management and EDU5513, Leadership for Curriculum Development. Both courses are offered online.

EPP faculty work with our largest partner school district, Bartlesville Public Schools, to train teachers who are currently teaching with an alternative or emergency placement. Training includes certification test instruction, classroom management, and pedagogical strategies. EPP faculty also work with P-12 teachers on a one-on-one basis. EPP faculty have worked with P-12 teachers in their classrooms and outside of school hours.

11. Input from Stakeholders: State the procedure used to inform the public regarding the institution's teacher education program and the manner through which public input is solicited and received.

As an accredited member of the Higher Learning Commission (HLC), OKWU adheres to their requirements for transparency and student outcomes. Currently, the EPP utilizes the www.okwu.edu web page to inform the public. The EPP posts their CAEP Annual

Measures on the OKWU Disclosures website as well. Feedback from the public is solicited through the web page and the accessibility of the EPP administration and faculty.

The dean and faculty maintain strong working relationships with area administrators, and when there are concerns, they do not hesitate to reach out.

Appendix A: Progress in Areas for Improvement

AFIs

Standard R1	
AFI	Progress
<p>The EPP provided limited evidence or transition plan that candidates apply critical concepts and principles of learner development, learning differences, and creating safe and supportive learning environments to work with diverse P-12 students and their families. (component R1.1)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided articulation of the processes used with their program and interview evidence supported that the EPP has an astute awareness of how to provide quality learning and preparation experiences for their candidates. However, the EPP demonstrated an unclear understanding for presenting compelling evidence that provided clarity to support that candidates application of critical concepts and principles associated with standard component R.1.1.</p>	<p>The EPP reviewed and discussed in regular meetings the CAEP definition of quality evidence for Standard R1.1. Possible sources of EPP evidence that already exist within the program would meet this standard. That evidence includes, but is not limited to, candidate created lesson plans and unit plans (Instructional Impact Unit), student case study, and clinical experience assessments.</p>

Standard RA.1	
AFI	Progress
<p>The EPP provided limited evidence of disaggregated data with subsequent analysis for all advanced programs (component RA1.2)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided articulation of the processes used within their program and interview evidence supported the EPP's working processes provided quality learning and preparation experiences for their candidates. However, the EPP failed to provide quality evidence with clarity that compelling demonstrated their case for meeting this standard component.</p>	<p>The M. Ed Director along with the previous M. Ed Director (current Provost) discussed the CAEP definition of quality evidence. Possible sources of EPP evidence that already exist within the program would meet this standard. Evidence includes, but is not limited to, the Action Research Project, School Communication Plan, and multiple internship activities that demonstrate candidate's ability to work with diverse P-12 students and their families.</p>

Standard R2	
AFI	Progress
<p>The EPP provided limited evidence of how partners co-construct mutually beneficial P-12 school and community arrangements for clinical preparation and share responsibility for continuous improvement of candidate preparation.(component R2.1)</p>	<p>The EPP has created a process chart that documents the collaboration with partner schools. Additional collaboration tools were implemented in 2023-2024 including mentor and candidate program and clinical experience evaluation</p>

<p>Rationale: The EPP provided limited evidence that primarily consisted of emails and correspondence to partner sites. The evidence did not demonstrate the systematic process in which the EPP and the Partner Schools collaborate.</p>	<p>surveys. Data from these surveys are discussed at EPP meetings to drive program improvement.</p> <p>The EPP has reestablished the Education Advisory Council consisting of local area school administration. The introductory meeting was held April 2024 and the aim was to establish the purpose and objectives of the committee. Meetings were continued in the 24-25 academic year with one meeting per semester. The meetings were separated by grade level: Elementary and Secondary. Meetings were conducted by the OKWU Elementary and Secondary Directors. Discussion included CAEP report results, alumni highlights, and an opportunity for specific stakeholder feedback and input. Feedback topics included but were not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current issues facing education – how OKWU can address these issues • Current state of collaboration and how to develop more ways to collaborate • Preparedness of program completers and opportunities for improvement
<p>The EPP provided limited evidence of how partners co-select, prepare, evaluate, and support high-quality clinical educators, both provider- and school-based, who demonstrate a positive impact on candidates' development and diverse P-12 student learning and development. (component R2.2)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided limited evidence that primarily consisted of emails and correspondence to partner sites. The evidence did not demonstrate the systematic process in which the EPP and the Partner Schools collaborate.</p>	<p>The EPP has created a process chart that documents the collaboration with partner schools. Additional collaboration tools were implemented in 2023-2024 including mentor and candidate program and clinical experience evaluation surveys. Data from these surveys are discussed at EPP meetings to drive program improvement.</p> <p>The EPP has reestablished the Education Advisory Council consisting of local area school administration. The introductory meeting was held April 2024 and the aim was to establish the purpose and objectives of the committee. Meetings were continued in the 24-25 academic year with one meeting per semester. The meetings were separated by grade level: Elementary and Secondary. Meetings were conducted by the OKWU Elementary and Secondary Directors. Discussion included CAEP report results, alumni highlights, and an opportunity for specific stakeholder feedback and input. Feedback topics included but were not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current issues facing education – how OKWU can address these issues • Current state of collaboration and how to develop more ways to collaborate

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preparedness of program completers and opportunities for improvement
--	--

Standard R3	
AFI	Progress
<p>The EPP provided limited evidence of systematic goals and progress for recruitment of high quality candidates from a broad range of backgrounds and diverse populations that align with their mission and address local, state, regional, or national needs for hard-to-staff schools and shortage fields. (component R3.1)</p> <p>Rationale: Provider does not identify goals and progress toward goals of recruitment of high-quality candidates. While some baseline demographic data is present and overarching goals were mentioned during site-visit interviews, the EPP does not provide a systematic way to describe what the goals are, the strategies to achieve those goals, and/or the measures to ensure the effectiveness of the strategies in place.</p>	<p>The EPP has worked with the Admissions Office and an outside vendor to discuss recruitment strategies. Based on student survey results, faculty engagement during campus tours was listed as an important factor in choosing a school. Due to this, EPP faculty has made a strategic decision to contact prospective students beforehand and meet with students during their campus tours with the goal of increasing EPP enrollment.</p> <p>The EPP examined enrollment data from the past three academic years in December meeting. Strategies to improve enrollment included, but were not limited to, contacting prospective students prior to visits, working with partners such as TCT to solidify articulation agreements, and inviting these partners on campus. An additional strategy that was created during the 24-25 academic year to increase teacher education enrollment was the development of a Future Educators Academy partnership with an area school. This is to be implemented in the 25-26 academic year.</p>
<p>The EPP provided limited evidence that they ensure candidates possess academic competency to teach effectively with positive impacts on diverse P-12 student learning and development. (component R3.3)</p> <p>Rationale: While the EPP uses measures to assess competency, there is minimal evidence provided on the addendum and during site visit interviews on how a candidate's impact on diverse P-12 student learning is directly assessed. The EPP presented limited evidence of the use of systematic data for continuous improvement. This component allows for a transition plan but one was not provided.</p>	<p>The EPP regularly examines state assessment data. For last academic year (23-24), EPP candidates had a 78% pass rate on the Oklahoma Subject Area Tests (OSAT) and a 100% pass rate on the Praxis Performance Assessment for Teachers (PPAT). The OSAT pass rate was higher than the state average. There were 2 candidates that did not pass the same content area OSAT, which resulted in a discussion to review and revise the PE/Health Degree Program.</p> <p>At the annual end of year EPP data analysis day, the EPP examined clinical experience evaluation data results and key assessment results connected with candidate's impact on diverse P-12 student learning. This process and data analysis will allow EPP discussion for continuous improvement.</p>

Standard RA.3	
AFI	Progress
<p>The EPP provided limited evidence of setting and monitoring measurable recruitment goals to increase high-quality advanced program candidates from a broad range of backgrounds to accomplish their mission. (component RA3.1)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP does not identify goals and progress toward goals of the recruitment of high-quality advanced candidates from a broad range of diverse backgrounds and diverse populations. While some goals and strategies were discussed during on-site interviews, the EPP does not provide systematic goals or the monitoring/evaluation of progress toward goals. Descriptions of strategies and actions to achieve goals were not in place.</p>	<p>The M. Ed Director has monthly meetings with the Executive Director of Admissions and the Admissions team to set and measure progress toward specific student goals for the current and upcoming academic years. One of the discussed strategies to assist in reaching the goal included revision of the M. Ed program to include an opportunity for more faculty engagement. A weekly video session was added to the M. Ed program in the Summer of 24 to increase faculty and cohort collaboration. This change was communicated in person and via digital communication to potential candidates. This programmatic change was made due to graduate student feedback. Progress toward this goal was evaluated after the start of the next M. Ed cohort. Retention has increased with this new change. Another strategy to assist in reaching our goal is for the M. Ed Director to travel with the admissions recruiters to various local school sites to present information about the M. Ed program. An additional strategy involves OKWU Admin and Faculty teaching intensive courses on relevant educational topics at local area schools. This strategy continued in Summer of 25 and will continue in following years as well.</p>
<p>The EPP provided minimal evidence that demonstrates an advanced completer's competency at completion to facilitate learning with positive impact on student learning. (component RA3.4)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided measures of competency, but the measures did not clearly evaluate the impact on student learning. A phase in plan was not presented to determine how measuring impact on student learning will be remedied.</p>	<p>Advanced candidates demonstrate their proficiencies in academic competency by mastering the National Educational Leadership Preparation (NELP) standards as they matriculate through the program. Candidates develop an Action Research Project, a program-long assessment that relates to the needs of a specific school district and assists in meeting an identified need. Candidates must use data from their site or mentor to determine the strengths and weaknesses of the program, school, or area. The Action Project is a required artifact that students will implement in stages throughout the program, culminating in the presentation of findings in the final Internship course. Reemphasis on the Action Project's connection to student learning has been communicated to program faculty. Proficiency is assessed and evident in the Action Project through the rubrics in the different stages of project development. The EPP has demonstrated that most advanced candidates score at or above</p>

	<p>the acceptable range on the NELP standards assessments.</p> <p>Completer pass rate on the OSAT over the last three academic years is at 89%, well above the state average. Due to the small <i>n</i> of the program and test takers, this actually amounts to only 1 completer that did not pass. The EPP is encouraged that the program content effectively prepares completers to impact students, parents, and communities.</p>
--	---

Standard R4	
AFI	Progress
<p>The EPP presented limited evidence to demonstrate that program completers effectively contribute to P-12 student-learning growth and apply in P-12 classrooms the professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions that the preparation experiences were designed to achieve. The EPP did not include a rationale for the data elements provided. (component R4.1)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided a transition plan but did not include at least one cycle of previous data or at least one cycle of pilot data aligned with revised components. The EPP did not include a rationale for the data elements provided.</p>	<p>The EPP did not receive responses from the initial pilot survey, which was why data could not be reported. However, the EPP received 4 responses from undergraduate program completers in the Spring of 23. Of those 4 participants, 2 were elementary education, 1 secondary social studies, and 1 secondary math. All 4 completers submitted data for this task.</p> <p>Overall data results indicated the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elementary math students demonstrated an increase of overall math scores of 13% with a 60% increase in overall proficiency • Elementary students demonstrated an overall proficiency with 84% of student being on level in reading and 79% being on level in math • 100% of secondary math students demonstrated mastery on a locally created quarterly summative assessment • Elementary math students were more proficient in computations and algebraic thinking and less proficient in measurement and data analysis • Elementary reading students were more proficient in reading comprehension and less proficient in text fluency <p>This data provides specific areas of emphasis for our education programs based on real P-12 student results. Overall, the OKWU EPP program completers demonstrated proficiency in their ability to deliver effective instruction that resulted in student learning and growth. The qualitative data in these responses also demonstrated that</p>

	<p>program completers are able to analyze student data efficiently and effectively. No responses were received for the next academic year. The EPP will continue to revise this annual process to allow for programmatic improvement.</p>
--	---

Standard RA.4	
---------------	--

AFI	Progress
-----	----------

<p>The EPP presented limited evidence to demonstrate that employers are satisfied with the completers' preparation for their assigned responsibilities. (component RA4.1)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided a Phase-in plan but did not provide detailed progress and future plans or schedule for completion. Within the phase-in plan, the EPP appears to continue to have difficulty discerning between a candidate about to graduate from a program completer (that completed the program at least six months previously) and employed in a position for which they were prepared.</p>	<p>The EPP has reestablished the Education Advisory Council consisting of local area school administration. The introductory meeting was held April 2024 and the aim was to establish the purpose and objectives of the committee. Meetings were continued in the 24-25 academic year with one meeting per semester. The meetings were separated by grade level: Elementary and Secondary. Meetings were conducted by the OKWU Elementary and Secondary Directors. Discussion included CAEP report results, alumni highlights, and an opportunity for specific stakeholder feedback and input. Feedback topics included but were not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current issues facing education – how OKWU can address these issues • Current state of collaboration and how to develop more ways to collaborate • Preparedness of program completers and opportunities for improvement <p>An Advanced Program Completer Employer Satisfaction Survey and an Advanced Program Completer Perceptions Survey has been created to provide evidence of employer and program completer satisfaction. This survey has been disseminated and the EPP is waiting on data results. Discussion on this feedback will be held when stakeholders respond.</p>
--	--

<p>The EPP presented limited evidence to demonstrate that program completers perceive their preparation as relevant to the responsibilities they confront on the job, and their preparation was effective. (component RA4.2)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided a Phase-in plan but did not provide detailed plans or schedule for completion with correct representative response. Within the phase-in plan, the EPP appears to</p>	<p>An Advanced Program Completer Employer Satisfaction Survey and an Advanced Program Completer Perceptions Survey has been created to provide evidence of employer and program completer satisfaction. This survey has been disseminated and the EPP is waiting on data results. Discussion on this feedback will be held when stakeholders respond.</p>
---	---

continue to have difficulty discerning between a candidate about to graduate from a program completer (that completed the program at least six months previously) and employed in a position for which they were prepared.	
--	--

Standard R5	
AFI	Progress
<p>The EPP provides limited evidence that it maintains a functioning and sustainable quality assurance system that consists of valid data to support evidence-based continuous improvement. (component R5.1)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP identifies measures and describes processes it has used to develop a quality assurance system, however, did not provide evidence of the stated data points. There is limited evidence the EPP has a system that functions to provide data that enables quality control and continuous improvement. It is unclear how data are systematically reported and used in decision making, and how outcomes of those decisions inform operational effectiveness of the EPP.</p>	<p>The EPP collects a wealth of data for program improvement including candidates grades and key assessment performance, state certification results, stakeholder feedback, and many other data points. The EPP has established formal Data Days in the Fall and Spring semester to examine and analyze these results. The first data day took place in December of 23 where key assessment results were reviewed. Out of this discussion, it was determined that the Physical Education methods courses needed updating to better align with the content of the Elementary and other Secondary methods courses. Physical Education student teachers were not as prepared as their student teaching counterparts. Updates to these methods courses include the introduction of the Instructional Impact Unit, which prepares students in the areas of lesson plan creation, data team cycle, and formative and summative assessments. Annual data days continue to take place with continued and further discussion of candidate and program data points.</p> <p>Another item of note is that a CAEP site review was conducted at OKWU in April of 2025 to review Standard 5. The result of that review was removal of the stipulation and OKWU was granted full accreditation status.</p>
<p>The EPP provides limited evidence of internal and external stakeholder involvement in program design, evaluation, and continuous improvement processes. (component R5.3)</p> <p>Rationale: While informal feedback and communication and anecdotes were noted through interviews, evidence provided on stakeholder involvement is limited to descriptions with only minimal mention of specific input and limited explanation of how it is used in continuous improvement. No recent contribution from Advisory Council members was evidenced in the</p>	<p>The EPP has reestablished the Education Advisory Council consisting of local area school administration. The introductory meeting was held April 2024 and the aim was to establish the purpose and objectives of the committee. Meetings were continued in the 24-25 academic year with one meeting per semester. The meetings were separated by grade level: Elementary and Secondary. Meetings were conducted by the OKWU Elementary and Secondary Directors. Discussion included CAEP report results, alumni highlights, and an</p>

<p>documents provided nor were any members available for the Site Visit interviews.</p>	<p>opportunity for specific stakeholder feedback and input. Feedback topics included but were not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current issues facing education – how OKWU can address these issues • Current state of collaboration and how to develop more ways to collaborate • Preparedness of program completers and opportunities for improvement <p>Another item of note is that a CAEP site review was conducted at OKWU in April of 2025 to review Standard 5. The result of that review was removal of the stipulation and OKWU was granted full accreditation status.</p>
<p>The EPP provides limited evidence of regular, systematic, and continuous assessment of its performance against goals or that results are tracked over time in partnership with stakeholders (component R5.4)</p> <p>Rationale: There is limited documentation of conclusions and/or interpretations of EPP data for systematic decision-making and programmatic improvement</p>	<p>The EPP collects a wealth of data for program improvement including candidates grades and key assessment performance, state certification results, stakeholder feedback, and many other data points. The EPP has established formal Data Days in the Fall and Spring semester to examine and analyze these results. The first data day took place in December of 23 where key assessment results were reviewed. Out of this discussion, it was determined that the Physical Education methods courses needed updating to better align with the content of the Elementary and other Secondary methods courses. Physical Education student teachers were not as prepared as their student teaching counterparts. Updates to these methods courses include the introduction of the Instructional Impact Unit, which prepares students in the areas of lesson plan creation, data team cycle, and formative and summative assessments. Annual data days continue to take place with continued and further discussion of candidate and program data points.</p> <p>Another item of note is that a CAEP site review was conducted at OKWU in April of 2025 to review Standard 5. The result of that review was removal of the stipulation and OKWU was granted full accreditation status.</p>

Standard RA.5	
AFI	Progress
<p>The EPP provides limited evidence that it maintains a quality assurance system that consists of valid data from multiple measures and supports</p>	<p>The EPP collects a wealth of data for program improvement including candidates grades and key</p>

<p>evidence-based continuous improvement. (component RA5.1)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP has not identified multiple measures to document operational effectiveness or quality assurance for the Advanced program. No processes are described. There is limited evidence the EPP has a system that functions to provide data that enables quality control and continuous improvement. It is unclear how data enter the system, how data are systematically reported and used in decision making, and how outcomes of those decisions inform operational effectiveness or programmatic improvement.</p>	<p>assessment performance, state certification results, stakeholder feedback, and many other data points. The systems used to collect data for the advanced program are the same as the traditional program data collection systems. The EPP has established an annual Data Day for the graduate program to examine and analyze these results. The M. Ed Director along with graduate faculty will examine and analyze this data to measure effectiveness and possible program improvement. As a result of this discussion, virtual connection sessions have been established within each cohort resulting in increased retention. A curriculum review of the program is also ongoing to improve relevancy of content.</p> <p>Another item of note is that a CAEP site review was conducted at OKWU in April of 2025 to review Standard 5. The result of that review was removal of the stipulation and OKWU was granted full accreditation status.</p>
<p>The EPP provides limited evidence it uses relevant, verifiable, representative, cumulative, and actionable measures to ensure interpretations of data are valid and consistent. (component RA5.2)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided limited evidence regarding validity and reliability procedures and no reference to assessments/instruments or sufficiency criteria. Nor is any data provided or interpreted for AR1-AR4. A Phase-In Plan for RA5.2 was supplied during the Site Visit that meets some of the sufficiency criteria; no progress steps were indicated.</p>	<p>In Spring 24, the EPP created a panel of education experts with a wide range of backgrounds to review EPP assessments and analyze their validity. This review was sent to the education panel and the EPP discussed results. The results of this analysis reestablished the reliability and validity of the key assessments. One piece of analysis demonstrates that adjunct faculty need additional training into course content and assessments before beginning to teach a course. As a result, a new streamlined online training program was created to help navigate the system. The overall EPP goal for validity and reliability is to review every three years unless there have been substantive changes to the assessments or instructors.</p> <p>Another item of note is that a CAEP site review was conducted at OKWU in April of 2025 to review Standard 5. The result of that review was removal of the stipulation and OKWU was granted full accreditation status.</p>
<p>The EPP provides inadequate evidence of internal and external stakeholder involvement for the M.Ed. for Advanced Principal Licensure program. (component RA5.3)</p> <p>Rationale: While informal feedback and communication was noted through interviews, evidence provided on stakeholder involvement is limited to descriptions with only minimal mention</p>	<p>The EPP has reestablished the Education Advisory Council consisting of local area school administration. The introductory meeting was held April 2024 and the aim was to establish the purpose and objectives of the committee. Meetings were continued in the 24-25 academic year with one meeting per semester. The meetings were separated by grade level:</p>

<p>of specific input and limited explanation of how it is used in continuous improvement. No recent contribution from Advisory Council members was evidenced in the documents provided nor were they available for the Site Visit interviews. There is limited remark of how the EPP includes relevant internal and external stakeholders in program design, evaluation or continuous improvement.</p>	<p>Elementary and Secondary. Meetings were conducted by the OKWU Elementary and Secondary Directors. Discussion included CAEP report results, alumni highlights, and an opportunity for specific stakeholder feedback and input. Feedback topics included but were not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current issues facing education – how OKWU can address these issues • Current state of collaboration and how to develop more ways to collaborate • Preparedness of program completers and opportunities for improvement <p>An Advanced Program Completer Employer Satisfaction Survey and an Advanced Program Completer Perceptions Survey has been created to provide evidence of employer and program completer satisfaction. An additional survey was sent to Graduate Faculty (full-time and adjunct) to provide an opportunity for programmatic feedback and suggestions for improvement. These surveys have been disseminated. Feedback from that survey established that the courses were well designed. Classroom management and teacher shortage were areas identified as items our students need to be aware of. This stakeholder survey will be repeated on an annual basis.</p> <p>Another item of note is that a CAEP site review was conducted at OKWU in April of 2025 to review Standard 5. The result of that review was removal of the stipulation and OKWU was granted full accreditation status.</p>
<p>The EPP provides limited evidence of regular, systematic, and continuous assessment of its performance against goals or that results are tracked over time in partnership with stakeholders. (component RA5.4)</p> <p>Rationale: The EPP provided limited evidence continuous improvement strategies are used to assess EPP performance and make decisions; verbal examples and anecdotal evidence were provided which do not show that program decisions are directly supported by data from the quality assurance system as the basis for improvement. A Phase-In Plan for RA5.4 was supplied during the Site Visit that meets some of the sufficiency criteria; no progress steps were indicated.</p>	<p>The EPP collects a wealth of data for program improvement including candidates grades and key assessment performance, state certification results, stakeholder feedback, and many other data points. The systems used to collect data for the advanced program are the same as the traditional program data collection systems. The EPP has established an annual Data Day for the graduate program to examine and analyze these results. The M. Ed Director along with graduate faculty will examine and analyze this data to measure effectiveness and possible program improvement. As a result of this discussion, virtual connection sessions have been established within each cohort resulting in increased retention. A curriculum review of the program is also ongoing to improve relevancy of content.</p>

The EPP has reestablished the Education Advisory Council consisting of local area school administration. The introductory meeting was held April 2024 and the aim was to establish the purpose and objectives of the committee. Meetings were continued in the 24-25 academic year with one meeting per semester. The meetings were separated by grade level: Elementary and Secondary. Meetings were conducted by the OKWU Elementary and Secondary Directors. Discussion included CAEP report results, alumni highlights, and an opportunity for specific stakeholder feedback and input. Feedback topics included but were not limited to:

- Current issues facing education – how OKWU can address these issues
- Current state of collaboration and how to develop more ways to collaborate
- Preparedness of program completers and opportunities for improvement

An Advanced Program Completer Employer Satisfaction Survey and an Advanced Program Completer Perceptions Survey has been created to provide evidence of employer and program completer satisfaction. An additional survey was sent to Graduate Faculty (full-time and adjunct) to provide an opportunity for programmatic feedback and suggestions for improvement. These surveys have been disseminated. Feedback from that survey established that the courses were well designed. Classroom management and teacher shortage were areas identified as items our students need to be aware of. This stakeholder survey will be repeated on an annual basis.

Another item of note is that a CAEP site review was conducted at OKWU in April of 2025 to review Standard 5. The result of that review was removal of the stipulation and OKWU was granted full accreditation status.